UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/549,333	09/13/2005	Pinchas Shalev	127/04736	6693	
44909 PRTS I	7590 11/26/200	88	EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 16446		RALIS, STEPHEN J			
Arlington, VA 22215			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3742		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/26/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/549,333	SHALEV ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
STEPHEN J. RALIS	3742	

	STEPHEN J. RALIS	3742					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 12 November 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavireal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Anno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth hter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the property of the p	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti	nsideration and/or search (see NOT »);	ΓE below);					
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a control of the control of		ected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			DTOL 004)				
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s). Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5 and 7-15</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
7. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation <u>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</u> 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>							
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/TU B HOANG/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3742	Stephen J Ralis Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3742						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The limitations of at least "one or more position adjuster mechanisms mounted between the frame and the base" (emphasis on mounted instead of juxtaposed) recited in dependent claim 4 and further dependent claims 5, 7 and 15 has not been previously presented and would require further consideration and/or a new search.

Similarly, the limitations of at least "a controller operative to control, responsive to motion detected by said motion detector, said heat generating elongated element to prevent heat from being applied continuously in a single area for sufficient time to cause skin damage" recited in dependent claim 15 has not been previously presented and would require further consideration and/or a new search. The examiner further respectfully requests that applicant direct the examiner to the disclosure for any new recited limitations.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: With respect to applicants' reply/arguments to the pending rejections over Peterson and Johnson, the examiner asserts that the reply/arguments are the same as in the amendment filed after the non-Final Office action mailed 24 January 2008. The reply/arguments were addressed in the rejection and the remarks sections of the pending Final Office action mailed 18 August 2008 Therefore, the examiner maintains the 35 U.S.C. 102(b) rejections anticipated by Peterson and Johnson.